

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the

**Federal Communications Commission**

Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

MAY - 5 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

.....  
In re Applications of )

GAF BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC., )

For Renewal of License of Station )

MM Docket No. 93-54

File No.

BRH-910201WL

The Guild's *Petition for Reconsideration* was filed on April 14, 1993, and the *Oppositions* of the Bureau and GAF were filed on April 28, 1993 and April 29, 1993, respectively. Depending upon whether the Bureau filed its pleading early or whether GAF filed its pleading late, the Guild presently is required to reply on Monday, May 10, 1993 and/or Tuesday, May 11, 1993. See §§ 1.4(h) & 1.106(g)-(h) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.4(h) & 1.106(g)-(h) (1992).

The purpose for which this one-week extension is requested (apart from resolving the foregoing uncertainty as to when the Guild is required to reply) is to enable the Guild to file simultaneously its replies in respect of this and two other closely related matters, both of which are now pending before Administrative Law Judge Joseph Chachkin in the above-captioned hearing proceeding, and to enable the Guild to file consolidated replies to the multiple oppositions that have been filed with respect to those matters. A parallel request for an extension to May 17 is being made to Judge Chachkin simultaneously herewith.

At present, owing to the intricacies of the Commission's Rules and to the different dates upon which the Bureau and GAF filed their various *Oppositions*, the Guild is subject to a number of different filing deadlines falling on several dates ranging from May 10 to May 17, 1993,<sup>1</sup> with different reply dates being applicable to separate oppositions filed with respect to a

---

1. As noted above, it is unclear whether the Guild is required to reply herein on May 10, 1993, May 11, 1993, or both. The Guild's reply to the Bureau's *Opposition to the Guild's Motion to Enlarge Issues* is due on May 10, 1993, while its reply to GAF's *Opposition* will not be due until either May 13 or May 14, 1993. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.4(g)-(i), 1.294(c)(1) (1992). The Guild's reply (if permissible under either 47 C.F.R. § 1.45(b) or § 1.294(c)(1)) to the Bureau's *Opposition to the Guild's Petition for Intervention* is due on either May 10 or May 14, 1993, while its reply to GAF's *Opposition* will not be not due until May 17, 1993. See 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.4(g)-(i), 1.45(b), 1.294(c)(1) (1992).


single pleading. The instant request would simply make *all* of the Guild's replies due on May 17, 1993, the latest of the existing filing deadlines.

It is respectfully submitted that such coordination of filing dates and consolidation of pleadings would promote efficiency and help to conserve the resources of the Commission and all parties hereto. Particularly in the instant situation, where there is a close factual and legal relationship among the three pleadings involved, it would be most beneficial for the Guild to have the opportunity to coordinate its responsive pleadings that a simultaneous filing date would afford.

In light of the foregoing, the Guild respectfully requests that the Commission grant it a one-week extension of time until May 17, 1993 in which to reply to the Bureau's and GAF's *Oppositions* to its *Petition for Reconsideration*.

Dated: May 5, 1993

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David M. Rice", is written over a horizontal line.

David M. Rice

One Old Country Road  
Carle Place, New York 11514  
(516) 747-7979

Attorney for Listeners' Guild, Inc.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, **DAVID M. RICE**, hereby certify that the foregoing "MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME" was served this 5th day of May, 1993, by mailing a true copy thereof by United States first class mail, postage prepaid, to each of the following:

The Honorable Joseph Chachkin  
Administrative Law Judge  
Federal Communications Commission  
2000 L Street, N.W. — Room 226  
Washington, D.C. 20554

John I. Riffer, Esq.  
Associate General Counsel  
Adjudication Division  
Office of General Counsel  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Gary Schonman, Esq.  
Hearing Branch, Enforcement Division  
Mass Media Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
2025 M Street, N.W. — Room 7212  
Washington, D.C. 20554


Glenn A. Wolfe, Chief  
EEO Branch, Enforcement Division  
Mass Media Bureau  
Federal Communications Commission  
2025 M Street, N.W. — Room 7218  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Aaron I. Fleischman, Esq.  
Fleischman & Walsh  
Suite 600  
1400 Sixteenth Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

David Honig, Esq.  
1800 N.W. 187th Street  
Miami, Florida 33056

Morton L. Berfield, Esq.  
Cohen and Berfield, P.C.  
1129 Twentieth Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Harry F. Cole, Esq.  
Bechtel & Cole  
1901 L Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "David M. Rice", written over a horizontal line.

David M. Rice